

CE 815 - Secure Software Systems

Program Analysis

Mehdi Kharrazi


Department of Computer Engineering
Sharif University of Technology



Acknowledgments: Some of the slides are fully or partially obtained from other sources. A reference is noted on the bottom of each slide, when the content is fully obtained from another source. Otherwise a full list of references is provided on the last slide.

Program Analysis

- How could we analyze a program (with source code) and look for problems?
- How accurate would our analysis be without executing the code?
- If we execute the code, what input values should we use to test/analyze the code?



<https://www.viva64.com>



What is Program Analysis?

- Body of work to discover useful facts about programs
- Broadly classified into three kinds:
 - Dynamic (execution-time)
 - Static (compile-time)
 - Hybrid (combines dynamic and static)



Dynamic Program Analysis

- Infer facts of program by monitoring its runs
- Examples:

Array bound checking
Purify

Datarace detection
Eraser

Memory leak detection
Valgrind

Finding likely invariants
Daikon



Static Analysis

- Infer facts of the program by inspecting its source (or binary) code
- Examples:

Suspicious error patterns
Lint, FindBugs, Coverity

Memory leak detection
Facebook Infer

Checking API usage rules
Microsoft SLAM

Verifying invariants
ESC/Java



Dynamic vs. Static Analysis

	Dynamic	Static
Cost		
Effectiveness		

- A. Unsound
(may miss errors)
- B. Proportional to
program's
execution
time
- C. Proportional
to program's size
- D. Incomplete
(may report
false positives)




QUIZ: Dynamic vs. Static Analysis

	Dynamic	Static
Cost	B. Proportional to program's execution time	C. Proportional to program's size
Effectiveness	A. Unsound (may miss errors)	D. Incomplete (may report false positives)

Program Analysis

- How could we analyze a program (with source code) and look for problems?
- **How accurate would our analysis be without executing the code?**
- If we execute the code, what input values should we use to test/analyze the code?



<https://www.viva64.com>



Static Analysis




Static analysis

- Analyze program's code without running it
 - In a sense, ask a computer to do code review
- Benefit: (much) higher coverage
 - Reason about many possible runs of the program
 - Sometimes all of them, providing a guarantee
 - Reason about incomplete programs (e.g., libraries)
- Drawbacks:
 - Can only analyze limited properties
 - May miss some errors, or have false alarms
 - Can be time- and resource-consuming



The Halting Problem



- Can we write an analyzer that can prove, for any program P and inputs to it, P will terminate?
 - Doing so is called the halting problem
 - Unfortunately, this is undecidable: any analyzer will fail to produce an answer for at least some programs and/or inputs



So is static analysis impossible?

- Perfect static analysis is not possible
- Useful static analysis is perfectly possible, despite
 - Nontermination - analyzer never terminates, or
 - False alarms - claimed errors are not really errors, or
 - Missed errors - no error reports $\neq$ error free
- Nonterminating analyses are confusing, so tools tend to exhibit only false alarms and/or missed errors



Reminder

- Soundness: No error found = no error exists
 - Alarms may be false errors
- Completeness: Any error found = real error
 - Silence does not guarantee no errors
- Basically any useful analysis
 - is neither sound nor complete (def. not both)
 - ... usually leans one way or the other



The Art of Static Analysis

- Design goals:
 - Precision: Carefully model program, minimize false positives/negatives
 - Scalability: Successfully analyze large programs
 - Understandability: Error reports should be actionable
- Observation: Code style is important
 - Aim to be precise for “good” programs
 - OK to forbid yucky code in the name of safety
 - Code that is more understandable to the analysis is more understandable to humans



Checking System Rules Using System-Specific, Programmer-Written Compiler Extensions

Dawson Engler, Benjamin Chelf, Andy Chou, Seth Hallem,
OSDI 2005



Motivation

- Developers of systems software have “rules” to check for correctness or performance. (Do X, don’t do X, do X before Y...)
- Code that does not obey these “rules” will run slow, crash the system, launch the missiles...
- Consequently, we need a systematic way of finding as many of these bugs as we can, preferably for as little cost as possible.



What's the Problem?

- Current solutions all have trade-offs.
- Formal Specifications-rigorous, mathematical approach
 - Finds obscure bugs, but is hard to do, expensive, and don't always mirror the actual written code.
- Testing-systematic approach to test the actual code
 - Will detect bugs, but testing a large system could require exponential/combinatorial number of test cases. It also doesn't isolate where the bug is, just that a bug exists.
- Manual Inspection-peer review of the code
 - Peer has knowledge of whole system and semantics, but doesn't have the diligence of a computer.



What's the Problem?

- None of the current methods seem to give us what we're looking for.
- Can the compiler check the code?
 - It would be nice to put the code in the compiler and have it check all of the “rules.”
 - Unfortunately, those “rules” are based on semantics of the system that the compiler doesn't understand. (Lock and Unlock are valid to the compiler, but how and when they should be used isn't.)
- Need some technique that merges the domain knowledge of the developer with the analysis of a compiler.




What's the Solution?

- Meta-level compilation (MC) combines the domain knowledge of developers with analysis capabilities of a compiler.
- Allows programmers to write short, simple, system-specific checkers that take into account unique semantics of a system.
- Checkers are then added to a compiler to check during compile-time.



What's the Solution?

- The author's [Engler] MC system uses a high-level, state-machine language called Metal.
- Metal extensions written by programmers are linked to a compiler (xg++) that analyzes the code as it is being compiled.
 - Intra and Interprocedural analysis.






How does it work?

- The language is a high-level, state-machine language.
- Two parts of the language—pattern part and state-transition part.
 - Pattern language—finds “interesting” parts of code based on the extension the programmer writes.
 - State-transition—Based on the discovered pattern, current state, either move to a new state or raise an error.
- Tests are written and then added to the xg++ compiler. Xg++ includes a base library that includes some common, useful functions and types.



Metacompilation (MC)

- Implementation:
 - Extensions dynamically linked into GNU gcc compiler
 - Applied down all paths in input program source



- Scalable: handles millions of lines of code
- Precise: says exactly what error was
- Immediate: finds bugs without having to execute path
- Effective: 1500+ errors in Linux source code



Bugs to Detect

Some examples

- Crash Causing Defects
- Null pointer dereference
- Use after free
- Double free
- Array indexing errors
- Mismatched array new/delete
- Potential stack overrun
- Potential heap overrun
- Return pointers to local variables
- Logically inconsistent code
- Uninitialized variables
- Invalid use of negative values
- Passing large parameters by value
- Underallocations of dynamic data
- Memory leaks
- File handle leaks
- Network resource leaks
- Unused values
- Unhandled return codes
- Use of invalid iterators

Slide credit: Andy Chou




Example: Check for missing optional args

- Prototype for open() syscall:
 - `int open(const char *path, int oflag, /* mode_t mode */...);`
- Typical mistake:
 - `fd = open("file", O_CREAT);`
- Result: file has random permissions
- Check: Look for `oflags == O_CREAT` without mode argument




Example: Chroot protocol checker

- Goal: confine process to a “jail” on the filesystem
 - `chroot()` changes filesystem root for a process
- Problem
 - `chroot()` itself does not change current working directory





Tainting checkers






Finding Local Bugs

```
#define SIZE 8
void set_a_b(char * a, char * b) {
    char * buf[SIZE];
    if (a) {
        b = new char[5];
    } else {
        if (a && b) {
            buf[SIZE] = a;
            return;
        } else {
            delete [] b;
        }
        *b = 'x';
    }
    *a = *b;
}
```



Control Flow Graph

Represent logical structure of code in graph form






Path Traversal

Conceptually Analyze each path through control graph separately


Actually Perform some checking computation once per node; combine paths at merge nodes





Apply Checking

Null pointers | Use after free | Array overrun



See how three checkers are run for this path

Checker

- Defined by a state diagram, with state transitions and error states

Run Checker

- Assign initial state to each program var
- State at program point depends on state at previous point, program actions
- Emit error if error state reached




Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun



“buf is 8 bytes”




Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun



“buf is 8 bytes”

“a is null”




Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun



“buf is 8 bytes”




Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun



“buf is 8 bytes”

“a is null”

“b is deleted”




Apply Checking

Null pointers

Use after free

Array overrun



“buf is 8 bytes”

“a is null”

“b is deleted”

“b dereferenced!”




False Positives

- What is a bug? Something the user will fix.
- Many sources of false positives
 - False paths
 - Execution environment assumptions
 - Killpaths
 - Conditional compilation
 - “third party code”
 - Analysis imprecision
 - ...




A False Path






False Path Pruning Integer Range Disequality Branch





False Path Pruning Integer Range Disequality Branch




“a in [0,0]”

“a == 0 is true”



False Path Pruning

Integer Range	Disequality	Branch
---------------	-------------	--------



“a in [0,0]”

“a != 0”

“a == 0 is true”



False Path Pruning

Integer Range	Disequality	Branch
char * buf[8]; if (a) !a if (a && b) a && b buf[8] = a; END	Impossible “a in [0,0]” “a != 0”	“a == 0 is true”

char * buf[8];

if (a)

!a

if (a && b)

a && b

buf[8] = a;

END

Impossible

“a in [0,0]”

“a != 0”

“a == 0 is true”



Goal: find as many serious bugs as possible

- Problem: what are the rules?!?!
 - 100-1000s of rules in 100-1000s of subsystems.
 - To check, must answer: Must a() follow b()? Can foo() fail? Does bar(p) free p? Does lock l protect x?
 - Manually finding rules is hard. So don't. Instead infer what code believes, cross check for contradiction
- Intuition: how to find errors without knowing truth?
 - Contradiction. To find lies: cross-examine. Any contradiction is an error.
 - Deviance. To infer correct behavior: if 1 person does X, might be right or a coincidence. If 1000s do X and 1 does Y, probably an error.
 - Crucial: we know contradiction is an error without knowing the correct belief!



Cross-checking program belief systems

- MUST beliefs:

- Inferred from acts that imply beliefs code **must** have.

```
x = *p / z; // MUST belief: p not null
```

```
// MUST: z != 0
```

```
unlock(l); // MUST: l acquired
```

```
x++; // MUST: x not protected by l
```

- Check using internal consistency: infer beliefs at different locations, then cross-check for contradiction

- MAY beliefs: could be coincidental

- Inferred from acts that imply beliefs code **may** have

```
A(); A(); A(); A();
```

```
... B(); ... B(); ... B(); ... B(); // MAY: A() and B()  
// must be paired
```

```
B(); // MUST: B() need not  
// be preceded by A()
```

- Check as MUST beliefs; rank errors by belief confidence.



Environment Assumptions

- Should the return value of malloc() be checked?

```
int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));  
*p = 42;
```

OS Kernel:
Crash machine.

File server:
Pause filesystem.

Web application:
200ms downtime

Spreadsheet:
Lose unsaved changes.

Game:
Annoy user.

IP Phone:
Annoy user.

Library:
?

Medical device:
malloc?!



Statistical Analysis

- Assume the code is usually right

3/4 deref	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42;</pre>	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); if(p) *p = 42;</pre>	1/4 deref
	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42;</pre>	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); if(p) *p = 42;</pre>	
	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42;</pre>	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); if(p) *p = 42;</pre>	
	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); if(p) *p = 42;</pre>	<pre>int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42;</pre>	



Results for BSD and Linux




- All bugs released to implementers; most serious fixed

Violation	Linux		BSD	
	Bug Fixed		Bug Fixed	
Gain control of system	18	15	3	3
Corrupt memory	43	17	2	2
Read arbitrary memory	19	14	7	7
Denial of service	17	5	0	0
Minor	28	1	0	0
Total	125	52	12	12



Two big open questions


- How to find the most important bug?
 - Main metric is bug counts or type
 - How to flag the 2-3 bugs that will really kill system?
- Do static tools really help?





Program Analysis

- How could we analyze a program (with source code) and look for problems?
- How accurate would our analysis be without executing the code?
- If we execute the code, what input values should we use to test/analyze the code?



<https://www.viva64.com>



Symbolic Execution



Symbolic Execution --- History

- 1976: A system to generate test data and symbolically execute programs (Lori Clarke)
- 1976: Symbolic execution and program testing (James King)
- 2005-present: practical symbolic execution
 - Using SMT solvers
 - Heuristics to control exponential explosion
 - Heap modeling and reasoning about pointers
 - Environment modeling
 - Dealing with solver limitations



Motivation

- Writing and maintaining tests is tedious and error-prone
- Idea: Automated Test Generation
 - Generate regression test suite
 - Execute all reachable statements




Approach

- Dynamic Symbolic Execution
 - Stores program state concretely and symbolically
 - Solves constraints to guide execution at branch points
 - Explores all execution paths of the unit tested
- Example of Hybrid Analysis
 - Collaboratively combines dynamic and static analysis



Execution Paths of a Program


- Program can be seen as binary tree with possibly infinite depth
 - Called **Computation Tree**
- Each node represents the execution of a conditional statement
- Each edge represents the execution of a sequence of non-conditional statements
- Each path in the tree represents an equivalence class of inputs





Example of Computation Tree

```
void test_me(int x, int y) {  
    if (2*y == x) {  
        if (x <= y+10)  
            print("OK");  
        else {  
            print("something bad");  
            ERROR;  
        }  
    } else  
        print("OK");  
}
```





Existing Approach I

- Random Testing:
 - Generate random inputs
 - Execute the program on those (concrete) inputs

```
void test_me(int x) {  
    if (x == 94389) {  
        ERROR;  
    }  
}
```

- Problem:
 - Probability of reaching error could be astronomically small

Probability of **ERROR**:

$$1/2^{32} \approx 0.0000000023\%$$



Existing Approach II

- Symbolic Execution
 - Use symbolic values for inputs
 - Execute program symbolically on symbolic input values
 - Collect symbolic path constraints
 - Use theorem prover to check if a branch can be taken
- Problem:
 - Does not scale for large programs

```
void test_me(int x) {  
    if (x*3 == 15) {  
        if (x % 5 == 0)  
            print("OK");  
        else {  
            print("something  
bad");  
            ERROR;  
        }  
    } else  
        print("OK");  
}
```



Existing Approach II

- Symbolic Execution
 - Use symbolic values for inputs
 - Execute program symbolically on symbolic input values
 - Collect symbolic path constraints
 - Use theorem prover to check if a branch can be taken
- Problem:
 - Does not scale for large programs

```
void test_me(int x) {  
    // c = product of two  
    // large primes  
    if (pow(2,x) % c == 17) {  
        print("something bad");  
        ERROR;  
    } else  
        print("OK");  
}
```

Symbolic execution will
say both branches are
reachable: **False Positive**



Combined Approach

- Dynamic Symbolic Execution (DSE)
 - Start with random input values
 - Keep track of both concrete values and symbolic constraints
 - Use concrete values to simplify symbolic constraints
 - Incomplete theorem-prover

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y) {  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 22
y = 7
z = 14

x = x_0
y = y_0
z = $2*y_0$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$
 $z = 14$

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$
 $z = 2*y_0$

path
condition

$2*y_0 \neq x_0$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$
 $z = 14$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$
 $z = 2*y_0$

$2*y_0 \neq x_0$

Solve: $2*y_0 == x_0$

Solution: $x_0 = 2, y_0 = 1$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 2
y = 1

x = x_0
y = y_0



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 2

x = x_0

y = 1

y = y_0

z = 2

z = $2*y_0$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x) ←  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 2$

$y = 1$

$z = 2$

Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$y = y_0$

$z = 2*y_0$

path condition

$2*y_0 == x_0$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

$x = 2$

$y = 1$

$z = 2$

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

$x = x_0$

$y = y_0$

$z = 2*y_0$

path
condition

$2*y_0 == x_0$

$x_0 \leq y_0 + 10$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 2$

$y = 1$

$z = 2$

$x = x_0$

$y = y_0$

$z = 2*y_0$

$2*y_0 == x_0$

$x_0 \leq y_0 + 10$

Solve: $(2*y_0 == x_0)$ and $(x_0 > y_0 + 10)$

Solution: $x_0 = 30, y_0 = 15$



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 30

x = x_0

y = 15

y = y_0



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

x = 30

y = 15

z = 30

Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

x = x_0

y = y_0

z = $2*y_0$

path condition



An Illustrative Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return 2*v;  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x) ←  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

x = 30
y = 15
z = 30

Symbolic Execution

symbolic state


x = x_0
y = y_0
z = $2*y_0$

path condition

$2*y_0 == x_0$



An Illustrative Example





A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 22
y = 7

x = x_0
y = y_0



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x = 22
y = 7

x = x_0
y = y_0

z =
601...129

z = secure_hash(Y_0)



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$\text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$
 $\neq x_0$

$z =$
 $601\dots129$

$z = \text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$

Solve: $\text{secure_hash}(Y_0) == x_0$

Don't know how to solve! Stuck?



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$\text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$
 $\neq x_0$

$z =$
 $601\dots129$

$z = \text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$

Solve: $\text{secure_hash}(Y_0) == x_0$

Don't know how to solve! Stuck?

Not stuck! Use
concrete state:
replace y_0 by 7



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$\text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$
 $\neq x_0$

$z =$
 $601\dots129$

$z = \text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$

Solve: $601\dots129 == x_0$

Solution: $x_0 = 601\dots129, y_0 = 7$



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

x =
601...129
y = 7

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

x = x_0
y = y_0

path
condition



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x)  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

x =
601...129

z = y = 7
601...129

x = x_0
y = y_0
z = secure_hash(Y_0)



A More Complex Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    int z = foo(y);  
    if (z == x) ←  
        if (x > y+10)  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

x =
601...129

z = y = 7
601...129

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state


x = x_0
y = y_0
z = $\text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$

path
condition

$\text{secure_hash}(Y_0)$
== x_0



A More Complex Example





A Third Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    if (x != y) ←  
        if (foo(x) == foo(y))  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

x = 22
y = 7

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

x = x_0
y = y_0

path
condition



A Third Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    if (x != y)   
        if (foo(x) == foo(y))  
            ERROR;  
}
```

Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

path
condition

$x_0 \neq y_0$



A Third Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    if (x != y)  
        if (foo(x) == foo(y))  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$x_0 \neq y_0$
 $\text{secure_hash}(x_0) \neq \text{secure_hash}(y_0)$

Solve: $x_0 \neq y_0$ and
 $\text{secure_hash}(x_0) == \text{secure_hash}(y_0)$

Use concrete state: replace y_0 by 7.



A Third Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    if (x != y)  
        if (foo(x) == foo(y))  
            ERROR;  
}
```



Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$x_0 \neq y_0$
 $\text{secure_hash}(x_0) \neq \text{secure_hash}(y_0)$

Solve: $x_0 \neq 7$ and
 $\text{secure_hash}(x_0) == 601\dots129$

Use concrete state: replace x_0 by 22.



A Third Example

```
int foo(int v) {  
    return secure_hash(v);  
}  
  
void test_me(int x, int y)  
{  
    if (x != y)  
        if (foo(x) == foo(y))  
            ERROR;  
}
```



False negative!

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

symbolic
state

path
condition

$x = 22$
 $y = 7$

$x = x_0$
 $y = y_0$

$x_0 \neq y_0$
 $\text{secure_hash}(x_0) \neq \text{secure_hash}(y_0)$

Solve: $22 \neq 7$ and
 $438\dots861 == 601\dots129$

Unsatisfiable!



Another Example: Testing Data Structures

- Random Test Driver:
 - random value for x
 - random memory graph reachable from p
- Probability of reaching ERROR is extremely low

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;

    return 0;
}
```




Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0) 
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete
Execution

concrete
state

$x = 236$
 $p = \text{NULL}$

Symbolic
Execution

symbolic
state

$x = x_0$
 $p = p_0$

path
condition





Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL) ←
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$
 $p = \text{NULL}$

Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$
 $p = p_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;

int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$
 $p = \text{NULL}$

Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$
 $p = p_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$
 $p_0 == \text{NULL}$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {  
    int data;  
    struct cell *next;  
} cell;  
  
int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }  
  
int test_me(int x, cell *p) {  
    if (x > 0)  
        if (p != NULL)  
            if (foo(x) == p->data)  
                if (p->next == p)  
                    ERROR;  
    return 0;   
}
```

Concrete
Execution

Symbolic
Execution

concrete
state

$x = 236$
 $p = \text{NULL}$

symbolic
state

$x = x_0$
 $p = p_0$

path
condition

$x_0 > 0$
 $p_0 == \text{NULL}$

Solve: $x_0 > 0$ and $p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

Solution: $x_0 = 236$, $p_0 \rightarrow$

634	NULL
-----	------



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0) 
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL) ←
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 \neq v_0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 236$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$


$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 \neq v_0$

Solve: $x_0 > 0$ and $p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$ and $2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$

Solution: $x_0 = 1$,

$p_0 \rightarrow$





Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0) 
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL) ←
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p) ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$


$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$

$n_0 \neq p_0$

Solve: $x_0 > 0$ and $p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$ and $2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$ and $n_0 == p_0$

Solution: $x_0 = 1$, $p_0 \rightarrow$





Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0) 
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL) ←
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p)
                    ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$



Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {
    int data;
    struct cell *next;
} cell;


int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }

int test_me(int x, cell *p) {
    if (x > 0)
        if (p != NULL)
            if (foo(x) == p->data)
                if (p->next == p) ERROR;
    return 0;
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$




Data-Structure Example

```
typedef struct cell {  
    int data;  
    struct cell *next;  
} cell;  
  
int foo(int v) { return 2*v + 1; }  
  
int test_me(int x, cell *p) {  
    if (x > 0)  
        if (p != NULL)  
            if (foo(x) == p->data)  
                if (p->next == p)  
                    ERROR;  
    return 0;  
}
```

Concrete Execution

concrete state

$x = 1$



Symbolic Execution

symbolic state

$x = x_0$

$p = p_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{data} = v_0$

$p \rightarrow \text{next} = n_0$

path condition

$x_0 > 0$

$p_0 \neq \text{NULL}$

$2 * x_0 + 1 == v_0$

$n_0 \neq p_0$

Program Error



Approach in a Nutshell

- Generate concrete inputs, each taking different program path
- On each input, execute program both concretely and symbolically
- Both cooperate with each other:
 - Concrete execution guides symbolic execution
 - Enables it to overcome incompleteness of theorem prover
 - Symbolic execution guides generation of concrete inputs
 - Increases program code coverage



Realistic Implementations

- KLEE: LLVM (C family of languages)
- PEX: .NET Framework
- jCUTE: Java
- Jalangi: Javascript
- SAGE and S2E: binaries (x86, ARM, ...)



How does Symbolic Execution Find bugs?

- It is possible to extend symbolic execution to help us catch bugs
- How: Dedicated checkers
 - Divide by zero example --- $y = x / z$ where x and z are symbolic variables and assume current PC (i.e. path constraint) is f
 - Even though we only fork in branches we will now fork in the division operator
 - One branch in which $z = 0$ and another where $z \neq 0$
 - We will get two paths with the following constraints:
 - $z = 0 \ \&\& \ f$, $z \neq 0 \ \&\& \ f$
 - Solving the constraint $z = 0 \ \&\& \ f$ will give us concrete input values that will trigger the divide by zero error.



How does Symbolic Execution Find bugs?

- It is possible to extend symbolic execution to help us catch bugs
- How: Dedicated checkers
 - Divide by zero example --- $y = x / z$ where x and z are integers and assume current PC (i.e. path constraints) is $z \neq 0$
 - Even though we only fork in branches, the division operator
 - One branch in which $z = 0$
 - We will get two path constraints:
 - $z = 0 \ \&\& \ z \neq 0$
 - Solver will give us concrete input values that will cause an error.

Write a dedicated checker for each kind of bug (e.g., buffer overflow, integer overflow, integer underflow)



Classic Symbolic Execution --- Practical Issues

- Loops and recursions --- infinite execution tree
- Path explosion --- exponentially many paths
- Heap modeling --- symbolic data structures and pointers
- SMT solver limitations --- dealing with complex path constraints
- Environment modeling --- dealing with native / system/library calls/file operations/network events



KLEE: Unassisted and Automatic Generation of High-Coverage Tests for Complex Systems Programs, Cristian Cadar, Daniel Dunbar, Dawson Engler, OSDI'08



KLEE

- A hybrid between an **operating system for symbolic processes** and an **interpreter**
 - Programs are compiled to virtual instruction sets in LLVM assembly language
 - Each symbolic process (“state”) has a symbolic environment
 - register file stack heap
 - program counter path condition
 - Symbolic environment of a state (unlike a normal process)
 - Refers to symbolic expressions and not concrete data values




KLEE

- Able to execute a large number of states simultaneously
- At its core – an interpreter loop
 - Selects a state to run (search heuristics)
 - Symbolically executes a single instruction in the context of the state
 - Continues until no remaining states
 - (or reaches user-defined timeout)



Architecture





Execution

- Conditional Branches
 - Queries STP to determine if the branch condition is true or false
 - The state's instruction pointer is altered suitably
 - Both branches are possible?
 - State is cloned, and each clone's instruction pointer and path condition are updated appropriately



Execution

- Targeted Errors
 - As in EXE
 - Division by 0
 - Overflow
 - Out-of-bounds memory reference



Modeling the Environment

- Code reads/writes values from/to its environment
 - Command line arguments
 - Environment variables
 - File data
 - Network packets
- Want to return all possible values for these reads
- How?
 - Redirecting calls that access the environment to custom models



Modeling the Environment

- Example: Modeling the File System
 - File system operations
 - Performed on an actual concrete file on disk?
 - Invoke the corresponding system call in the OS
 - Performed on a symbolic file?
 - Emulate the operation's effect on a simple symbolic file system (private for each state)
 - Defined simple models for 40 system calls



Modeling the Environment

- Example: Modeling the File System
 - Symbolic file system
 - Crude
 - Contains a single directory with N symbolic files
 - User can specify N and size of files
 - Coexists with real file system
 - Applications can use files in both



Modeling the Environment

- Failing system calls
 - Environment can fail in unexpected ways
 - `write()` when disk is full
 - Unexpected, hard-to-diagnose bugs
 - Optionally simulates environmental failures
 - Failing system calls in a controlled manner




Optimizations

- Experimental Performance
 - Used to generate tests in
 - GNU COREUTILS Suite (89 programs)
 - BUSYBOX (72 programs)
 - Both have variety of functions, intensive interaction with the environment
 - Heavily tested, mature code
 - Used to find bugs in
 - Total of 450 applications



Optimizations


- Experimental Performance
 - Query simplification + caching
 - Number of STP queries reduced to 5% (!) of original
 - Time spent solving queries to STP reduced from 92% of overall time to 41% of overall time
 - Speedup





Results – Line Coverage

- GNU COREUTILS
- Overall: 84%, Average 91 %, Median 95%




Apps sorted by KLEE coverage



Results – Line Coverage

- GNU COREUTILS





Results – Line Coverage

- High coverage with few test cases
 - Average of 37 tests per tool in GNU COREUTILS
- “Out of the box” – utilities unaltered
- Entire tool suite (no focus on particular apps)
- However
 - Checks only low-level errors and violations
 - Developer tests also validate output to be as expected



Results – Bugs found

- 10 memory error crashes in GNU COREUTILS
 - More than found in previous 3 years combined
 - Generates actual command lines exposing crashes

```
paste -d\\ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz  
pr -e t2.txt  
tac -r t3.txt t3.txt  
mkdir -Z a b  
mkfifo -Z a b  
mknod -Z a b p  
md5sum -c t1.txt  
ptx -F\\ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz  
ptx x t4.txt  
seq -f %0 1
```

```
t1.txt: "\t \tMD5 ("  
t2.txt: "\b\b\b\b\b\b\b\t"  
t3.txt: "\n"  
t4.txt: "a"
```




Under-Constrained Symbolic Execution:

Correctness Checking for Real Code, David A. Ramos and Dawson Engler, Usenix Security 2015



Contributions

- Technique + tool for finding deep bugs in real, open source C/C++ code
 - No manual testcases
 - No functional specification
- Bugs reported may have security implications; exploitability must be determined manually
 - Memory access, heap management, assertion failures, division-by-zero
- Found 77 new bugs in BIND, OpenSSL, Linux kernel
 - 2 OpenSSL DoS vulnerabilities: CVE-2014-0198, CVE-2015-0292
 - 14 Linux kernel vulnerabilities (mostly minor DoS issues)



Problem: Scalability

- Path explosion
 - $|\text{paths}| \sim 2^{\text{if-statements}}$
- Path length and complexity
 - Undecidable: infinite-length paths (halting problem)
- SMT query complexity (NP-complete)



Solution: Under-Constrained

- Directly execute individual functions within a program
 - Less code = Fewer paths
 - Function calls executed (inter-procedural)
 - Able to test previously-unreachable code
- Challenges
 - Complex inputs (e.g., pointer-rich data structures)
 - Under-constrained: inputs have unknown preconditions
 - False positives



UC-KLEE tool

- Extends KLEE tool (OSDI 2008)
- Runs LLVM bitcode compiled from C/C++ source
- Automatically synthesizes complex inputs
 - Based on lazy initialization (Java Pathfinder)
 - Supports pointer manipulation and casting in C/C++ (no type safety)
 - User-specified input depth (k-bound) [Deng 2006]




Lazy Initialization

- Symbolic (input) pointers initially unbound
- On first dereference:
 - New object allocated
 - Symbolic pointer bound to new object's address
- On subsequent dereferences:
 - Pointer resolves to object allocated above



Example

Unbound Symbolic Input



```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```




Example

```
int listSum(node *n) {  
→ int sum = 0;  
  while (n) {  
    sum += n->val;  
    n = n->next;  
  }  
  return sum;  
}  
•
```




Example

```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```






Example

```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
→   sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```


•





Example


```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
→      sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example


```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example



```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example



```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example




```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        → sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example



```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        → sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example



```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example




```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example


```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Example

```
int listSum(node *n) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    while (n) {  
        sum += n->val;  
        n = n->next;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```





Use Cases

- Equivalence checking: patches
 - Yesterday's code vs. today's code (i.e., fewer bugs today)
 - Goal: detect (and prevent!) new crashes introduced by patches
 - Other uses discussed in CAV 2011 paper
- General bug-finding: rule-based checkers
 - Single version of a function; under-constrained + additional checker rules
 - Memory leaks, uninitialized data, unsafe user input
 - Simple interface for adding new checkers



Evaluation

- BIND, OpenSSL
 - Mature, security-critical codebases (~400 KLOC each)
- Patches
 - BIND: 487 patches to 9.9 stable (14 months)
 - OpenSSL: 324 patches to 1.0.1 stable (27 months)
- Ran UC-KLEE for 1 hour on each patched function



Evaluation: Patches

- Discovered 10 new bugs (4 in BIND, 6 in OpenSSL)
 - 2 OpenSSL DoS vulnerabilities:
 - CVE-2014-0198: NULL pointer dereference
 - CVE-2015-0292: Out-of-bounds memcpy read
- Verified (w/ caveats) that patches do not introduce crashes
 - 67 (13.8%) for BIND, 48 (14.8%) for OpenSSL
- More results available in the publication



Acknowledgments/References (1/2)

- [Naik'18] IS 700: Software Analysis and Testing, Mayur Naik, Upenn Fall 2018.
- [Levin'18] ENEE457/CMSC498E Computer Systems Security, Dana Dachman-Soled, UMD, Fall 2017
- [Jana'17] COMS W4995: Secure Software Development: Theory and Practice, Sumana Jana, Columbia Univ, Spring 2017.
- [Aldrich'11] 17-654: Analysis of Software Artifacts, Jonathan Aldrich, CMU, Spring 2011.
- [Thornton'05] CS5204 Operating Systems course presentation by Matthew Thornton, Fall 2005.
- [Engler'02] Finding bugs with system-specific static analysis, Dawson Engler, PASTE 2002.
- [Mitchell'15] CS155 Computer and Network Security, John Mitchell, Stanford, Spring 2017.



Acknowledgments/References (2/2)

- [Naik'18] IS 700: Software Analysis and Testing, Mayur Naik, Upenn Fall 2018.
- [Chowdhury'15] Information Security, CS 526, Omar Chowdhury, University of Iowa, 2015
- [Leibowitz'13] Presented by Yoni Leibowitz, EECS 395/495: Programming Languages and Analysis for Security , Northwestern University, 2013
- [Ramos'15] Under-Constrained Symbolic Execution: Correctness Checking for Real Code, David A. Ramos and Dawson Engler, Slidesm, Usenix Security 2015
- [Engler'08] A couple billion lines of code later: static checking in the real world, Dawson Engler, Slides from Usenix Security 2008.