6. Mean, Variance, Moments and Characteristic Functions

For a r.v X, its p.d.f $f_X(x)$ represents complete information about it, and for any Borel set B on the x-axis

$$P(X(\xi) \in B) = \int_{B} f_{X}(x) dx.$$
(6-1)

Note that $f_x(x)$ represents very detailed information, and quite often it is desirable to characterize the r.v in terms of its average behavior. In this context, we will introduce two parameters - mean and variance - that are universally used to represent the overall properties of the r.v and its p.d.f.

Mean or the Expected Value of a r.v X is defined as

$$\eta_X = \overline{X} = E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx.$$
 (6-2)

If X is a discrete-type r.v, then using (3-25) we get

$$\eta_X = \overline{X} = E(X) = \int x \sum_i p_i \delta(x - x_i) dx = \sum_i x_i p_i \underbrace{\int \delta(x - x_i) dx}_{1}$$
$$= \sum_i x_i p_i = \sum_i x_i P(X = x_i).$$
(6-3)

Mean represents the average (mean) value of the r.v in a very large number of trials. For example if $X \sim U(a,b)$, then using (3-31),

$$E(X) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x}{b-a} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{x^{2}}{2} \bigg|_{a}^{b} = \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{2(b-a)} = \frac{a+b}{2}$$
(6-4)

is the midpoint of the interval (a,b).

On the other hand if X is exponential with parameter λ as in (3-32), then

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{\lambda} e^{-x/\lambda} dx = \lambda \int_0^\infty y e^{-y} dy = \lambda, \qquad (6-5)$$

implying that the parameter λ in (3-32) represents the mean value of the exponential r.v.

Similarly if X is Poisson with parameter λ as in (3-45), using (6-3), we get

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kP(X = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ke^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{(k-1)!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda} = \lambda.$$
(6-6)

Thus the parameter λ in (3-45) also represents the mean of the Poisson r.v.

In a similar manner, if X is binomial as in (3-44), then its mean is given by

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} kP(X=k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^{k} q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \frac{n!}{(n-k)! k!} p^{k} q^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)! (k-1)!} p^{k} q^{n-k} = np \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-i-1)! i!} p^{i} q^{n-i-1} = np (p+q)^{n-1} = np.$$

(6-7)

Thus *np* represents the mean of the binomial r.v in (3-44). For the normal r.v in (3-29),

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (y+\mu) e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2} dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2} dy}_{0} + \mu \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2} dy = \mu.$$
(6-8)

4

Thus the first parameter in $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is infact the mean of the Gaussian r.v X. Given $X \sim f_X(x)$, suppose Y = g(X) defines a new r.v with p.d.f $f_Y(y)$. Then from the previous discussion, the new r.v Y has a mean μ_Y given by (see (6-2))

$$\mu_{Y} = E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y f_{Y}(y) dy.$$
 (6-9)

From (6-9), it appears that to determine E(Y), we need to determine $f_Y(y)$. However this is not the case if only E(Y) is the quantity of interest. Recall that for any y, $\Delta y > 0$

$$P(y < Y \le y + \Delta y) = \sum_{i} P(x_i < X \le x_i + \Delta x_i), \qquad (6-10)$$

where x_i represent the multiple solutions of the equation $y = g(x_i)$. But(6-10) can be rewritten as

$$f_{Y}(y)\Delta y = \sum_{i} f_{X}(x_{i})\Delta x_{i}, \qquad (6-11)$$
FILLAI

where the $(x_i, x_i + \Delta x_i)$ terms form nonoverlapping intervals. Hence

$$y f_Y(y) \Delta y = \sum_i y f_X(x_i) \Delta x_i = \sum_i g(x_i) f_X(x_i) \Delta x_i,$$
 (6-12)

and hence as Δy covers the entire y-axis, the corresponding Δx 's are nonoverlapping, and they cover the entire *x*-axis. Hence, in the limit as $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$, integrating both sides of (6-12), we get the useful formula

$$E(Y) = E(g(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) f_X(x) dx.$$
 (6-13)

In the discrete case, (6-13) reduces to

$$E(Y) = \sum_{i} g(x_{i})P(X = x_{i}).$$
(6-14)

From (6-13)-(6-14), $f_Y(y)$ is not required to evaluate E(Y)for Y = g(X). We can use (6-14) to determine the mean of $Y = X^2$, where X is a Poisson r.v. Using (3-45) 6 PILLAI

$$E(X^{2}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2} P(X = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^{k}}{(k-1)!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i+1) \frac{\lambda^{i+1}}{i!}$$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!} \right) = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!} + e^{\lambda} \right)$$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{(i-1)!} + e^{\lambda} \right) = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{m!} + e^{\lambda} \right)$$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left(\lambda e^{\lambda} + e^{\lambda} \right) = \lambda^{2} + \lambda.$$
(6-1)

In general, $E(X^k)$ is known as the *k*th moment of r.v *X*. Thus if $X \sim P(\lambda)$, its second moment is given by (6-15). 5

Mean alone will not be able to truly represent the p.d.f of any r.v. To illustrate this, consider the following scenario: Consider two Gaussian r.vs $X_1 \sim N(0,1)$ and $X_2 \sim N(0,10)$. Both of them have the same mean $\mu = 0$. However, as Fig. 6.1 shows, their p.d.fs are quite different. One is more concentrated around the mean, whereas the other one (X_2) has a wider spread. Clearly, we need atleast an additional parameter to measure this spread around the mean!



For a r.v X with mean μ , $X - \mu$ represents the deviation of the r.v from its mean. Since this deviation can be either positive or negative, consider the quantity $(X - \mu)^2$, and its average value $E[(X - \mu)^2]$ represents the average mean square deviation of X around its mean. Define

$$\sigma_{X}^{2} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} E[(X - \mu)^{2}] > 0.$$
 (6-16)

With $g(X) = (X - \mu)^2$ and using (6-13) we get

$$\sigma_{X}^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - \mu)^{2} f_{X}(x) dx > 0.$$
 (6-17)

 σ_x^2 is known as the variance of the r.v *X*, and its square root $\sigma_x = \sqrt{E(X - \mu)^2}$ is known as the standard deviation of *X*. Note that the standard deviation represents the root mean square spread of the r.v *X* around its mean μ . Expanding (6-17) and using the linearity of the integrals, we get

$$Var(X) = \sigma_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^2 - 2x\mu + \mu^2) f_X(x) dx$$

= $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 f_X(x) dx - 2\mu \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx + \mu^2$
= $E(X^2) - \mu^2 = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = \overline{X^2} - \overline{X}^2.$ (6-18)

Alternatively, we can use (6-18) to compute σ_x^2 .

Thus, for example, returning back to the Poisson r.v in (3-45), using (6-6) and (6-15), we get

$$\sigma_{X}^{2} = \overline{X}^{2} - \overline{X}^{2} = (\lambda^{2} + \lambda) - \lambda^{2} = \lambda.$$
 (6-19)

Thus for a Poisson r.v, mean and variance are both equal to its parameter λ .

To determine the variance of the normal r.v $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$, we can use (6-16). Thus from (3-29)

$$Var(X) = E[(X - \mu)^{2}] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - \mu)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} dx. \quad (6-20)$$

To simplify (6-20), we can make use of the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} dx = 1$$

for a normal p.d.f. This gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}\sigma.$$
 (6-21)

Differentiating both sides of (6-21) with respect to σ , we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^3} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

Or $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} dx = \sigma^2, \quad (6-22)$ 11
PILLAI

which represents the Var(X) in (6-20). Thus for a normal r.v as in (3-29)

$$Var(X) = \sigma^2 \tag{6-23}$$

and the second parameter in $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ infact represents the variance of the Gaussian r.v. As Fig. 6.1 shows the larger the σ , the larger the spread of the p.d.f around its mean. Thus as the variance of a r.v tends to zero, it will begin to concentrate more and more around the mean ultimately behaving like a constant.

Moments: As remarked earlier, in general

$$m_n = X^n = E(X^n), \quad n \ge 1$$
 (0-24)

are known as the moments of the r.v *X*, and

(() 1)

$$\mu_n = E[(X - \mu)^n]$$
 (6-25)

are known as the central moments of *X*. Clearly, the mean $\mu = m_1$, and the variance $\sigma^2 = \mu_2$. It is easy to relate m_n and μ_n . Infact

$$\mu_{n} = E\left[\left(X - \mu\right)^{n}\right] = E\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} X^{k} \left(-\mu\right)^{n-k}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} E\left(X^{k}\right) \left(-\mu\right)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} m_{k} \left(-\mu\right)^{n-k}.$$
 (6-26)

In general, the quantities

$$E[(X-a)^n] \tag{6-27}$$

are known as the generalized moments of X about a, and

$$E[\mid X \mid^{n}] \tag{6-28}$$

are known as the absolute moments of X.

For example, if $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, then it can be shown that

$$E(X^{n}) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ odd,} \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (n-1)\sigma^{n}, & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$
(6-29)

$$E(|X|^{n}) = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (n-1)\sigma^{n}, & n \text{ even,} \\ 2^{k} k! \sigma^{2k+1} \sqrt{2/\pi}, & n = (2k+1), \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$
(6-30)

Direct use of (6-2), (6-13) or (6-14) is often a tedious procedure to compute the mean and variance, and in this context, the notion of the characteristic function can be quite helpful.

Characteristic Function

The characteristic function of a r.v X is defined as

$$\Phi_X(\omega) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} E\left(e^{jX\omega}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{jx\omega} f_X(x) dx.$$
 (6-31)

Thus $\Phi_X(0) = 1$, and $|\Phi_X(\omega)| \le 1$ for all ω .

For discrete r.vs the characteristic function reduces to

$$\Phi_X(\omega) = \sum_k e^{jk\omega} P(X=k).$$
(6-32)

Thus for example, if $X \sim P(\lambda)$ as in (3-45), then its characteristic function is given by

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{jk\omega} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^{j\omega})^{k}}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^{j\omega}} = e^{\lambda (e^{j\omega} - 1)}.$$
 (6-33)

Similarly, if X is a binomial r.v as in (3-44), its characteristic function is given by

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{jk\omega} \binom{n}{k} p^{k} q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (pe^{j\omega})^{k} q^{n-k} = (pe^{j\omega} + q)^{n} \cdot \binom{6-34}{15}$$
PILLAI

To illustrate the usefulness of the characteristic function of a r.v in computing its moments, first it is necessary to derive the relationship between them. Towards this, from (6-31)

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = E\left(e^{jX\omega}\right) = E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j\omega X)^{k}}{k!}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j^{k} \frac{E(X^{k})}{k!} \omega^{k}$$
$$= 1 + jE(X)\omega + j^{2} \frac{E(X^{2})}{2!} \omega^{2} + \dots + j^{k} \frac{E(X^{k})}{k!} \omega^{k} + \dots \qquad (6-35)$$

Taking the first derivative of (6-35) with respect to ω , and letting it to be equal to zero, we get

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega}\Big|_{\omega=0} = jE(X) \quad \text{or} \quad E(X) = \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega}\Big|_{\omega=0}.$$
 (6-36)

Similarly, the second derivative of (6-35) gives

$$E(X^{2}) = \frac{1}{j^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{2}} \bigg|_{\omega=0}, \qquad (6-37)$$
16
PILLAI

and repeating this procedure k times, we obtain the kth moment of X to be

$$E(X^{k}) = \frac{1}{j^{k}} \frac{\partial^{k} \Phi_{X}(\omega)}{\partial \omega^{k}} \bigg|_{\omega=0}, \quad k \ge 1.$$
(6-38)

We can use (6-36)-(6-38) to compute the mean, variance and other higher order moments of any r.v X. For example, if $X \sim P(\lambda)$, then from (6-33)

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^{j\omega}} \lambda j e^{j\omega}, \qquad (6-39)$$

so that from (6-36)

$$E(X) = \lambda, \qquad (6-40)$$

which agrees with (6-6). Differentiating (6-39) one more time, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} = e^{-\lambda} \left(e^{\lambda e^{j\omega}} (\lambda j e^{j\omega})^2 + e^{\lambda e^{j\omega}} \lambda j^2 e^{j\omega} \right), \tag{6-41}$$

so that from (6-37)

$$E(X^2) = \lambda^2 + \lambda, \qquad (6-42)$$

which again agrees with (6-15). Notice that compared to the tedious calculations in (6-6) and (6-15), the efforts involved in (6-39) and (6-41) are very minimal.

We can use the characteristic function of the binomial r.v B(n, p) in (6-34) to obtain its variance. Direct differentiation of (6-34) gives

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = jnpe^{j\omega} (pe^{j\omega} + q)^{n-1}$$
(6-43)

so that from (6-36), E(X) = np as in (6-7).

One more differentiation of (6-43) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_X(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} = j^2 n p \left(e^{j\omega} \left(p e^{j\omega} + q \right)^{n-1} + (n-1) p e^{j2\omega} \left(p e^{j\omega} + q \right)^{n-2} \right)$$
(6-44)

and using (6-37), we obtain the second moment of the binomial r.v to be

$$E(X^{2}) = np(1 + (n-1)p) = n^{2}p^{2} + npq.$$
(6-45)

Together with (6-7), (6-18) and (6-45), we obtain the variance of the binomial r.v to be

$$\sigma_X^2 = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = n^2 p^2 + npq - n^2 p^2 = npq.$$
 (6-46)

To obtain the characteristic function of the Gaussian r.v, we can make use of (6-31). Thus if $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j\omega x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} dx \quad (\text{Let } x-\mu = y)$$

$$= e^{j\mu\omega} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j\omega y} e^{-y^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} dy = e^{j\mu\omega} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-y/2\sigma^{2}(y-j2\sigma^{2}\omega)} dy$$

$$(\text{Let } y-j\sigma^{2}\omega = u \text{ so that } y = u+j\sigma^{2}\omega)$$

$$= e^{j\mu\omega} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(u+j\sigma^{2}\omega)(u-j\sigma^{2}\omega)/2\sigma^{2}} du$$

$$= e^{j\mu\omega} e^{-\sigma^{2}\omega^{2}/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-u^{2}/2\sigma^{2}} du = e^{(j\mu\omega-\sigma^{2}\omega^{2}/2)}. \quad (6-47)$$

Notice that the characteristic function of a Gaussian r.v itself has the "Gaussian" bell shape. Thus if $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, then

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2},$$
 (6-48)

and

$$\Phi_{X}(\omega) = e^{-\sigma^{2}\omega^{2}/2}.$$
 (6-49)



From Fig. 6.2, the reverse roles of σ^2 in $f_X(x)$ and $\Phi_X(\omega)$ are noteworthy $(\sigma^2 \text{ vs } \frac{1}{\sigma^2})$.

In some cases, mean and variance may not exist. For example, consider the Cauchy r.v defined in (3-39). With

$$f_{X}(x) = \frac{(\alpha / \pi)}{\alpha^{2} + x^{2}},$$

$$E(X^{2}) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2}}{\alpha^{2} + x^{2}} dx = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha^{2} + x^{2}}\right) dx = \infty, \quad (6-50)$$

clearly diverges to infinity. Similarly

$$E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x}{\alpha^2 + x^2} dx. \qquad (6-51)$$

To compute (6-51), let us examine its one sided factor

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{x}{\alpha^{2} + x^{2}} dx \quad \text{With} \quad x = \alpha \tan \theta$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{x}{\alpha^{2} + x^{2}} dx = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\alpha \tan \theta}{\alpha^{2} \sec^{2} \theta} \alpha \sec^{2} \theta d\theta = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} d\theta$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{d(\cos \theta)}{\cos \theta} = -\log \cos \theta \Big|_{0}^{\pi/2} = -\log \cos \frac{\pi}{2} = \infty, (6-52)$$

indicating that the double sided integral in (6-51) does not converge and is undefined. From (6-50)-(6-52), the mean and variance of a Cauchy r.v are undefined.

We conclude this section with a bound that estimates the dispersion of the r.v beyond a certain interval centered around its mean. Since σ^2 measures the dispersion of 22

the r.v X around its mean μ , we expect this bound to depend on σ^2 as well.

Chebychev Inequality

Consider an interval of width 2ε symmetrically centered around its mean μ as in Fig. 6.3. What is the probability that X falls outside this interval? We need

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge \varepsilon) ? \tag{6-53}$$



To compute this probability, we can start with the definition of σ^2 .

$$\sigma^{2} = E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x-\mu)^{2} f_{X}(x) dx \ge \int_{|x-\mu| \ge \varepsilon} (x-\mu)^{2} f_{X}(x) dx$$
$$\ge \int_{|x-\mu| \ge \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{2} f_{X}(x) dx \ge \varepsilon^{2} \int_{|x-\mu| \ge \varepsilon} f_{X}(x) dx \ge \varepsilon^{2} P\left(|X-\mu| \ge \varepsilon\right). \quad (6-54)$$

From (6-54), we obtain the desired probability to be

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}, \qquad (6-55)$$

and (6-55) is known as the chebychev inequality. Interestingly, to compute the above probability bound the knowledge of $f_X(x)$ is not necessary. We only need σ^2 , the variance of the r.v. In particular with $\varepsilon = k\sigma$ in (6-55) we obtain

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}.$$
(6-56)

Thus with k = 3, we get the probability of *X* being outside the 3 σ interval around its mean to be 0.111 for any r.v. Obviously this cannot be a tight bound as it includes all r.vs. For example, in the case of a Gaussian r.v, from Table 4.1 $(\mu = 0, \sigma = 1)$

$$P(|X| \ge 3\sigma) = 0.0027.$$
 (6-57)

which is much tighter than that given by (6-56). Chebychev inequality always underestimates the exact probability.

Moment Identities :

Suppose X is a discrete random variable that takes only nonnegative integer values. i.e.,

$$P(X = k) = p_k \ge 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X > k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} P(X = i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X = i) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 1$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i P(X = i) = E(X)$$
(6-58)

similarly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P(X > k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X = i) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} P(X = i) = \frac{E\{X(X-1)\}}{2}$$

which gives

$$E(X^{2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{2} P(X=i) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) P(X > k).$$
 (6-59)

Equations (6-58) – (6-59) are at times quite useful in simplifying calculations. For example, referring to the Birthday Pairing Problem [Example 2-20., Text], let Xrepresent the minimum number of people in a group for a birthday pair to occur. The probability that "the first n people selected from that group have different birthdays" is given by [P(B) in page 39, Text]

$$p_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \frac{k}{N}) \approx e^{-n(n-1)/2N}$$

But the event the "the first n people selected have

different birthdays" is the same as the event "X > n." Hence

$$P(X > n) \approx e^{-n(n-1)/2N}$$

Using (6-58), this gives the mean value of X to be

$$E(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X > n) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n(n-1)/2N} \approx \int_{-1/2}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2 - 1/4)/2N} dx$$

$$= e^{(1/8N)} \int_{-1/2}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2N} dx = e^{(1/8N)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\pi N} + \int_{0}^{1/2} e^{-x^2/2N} dx \right\}$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\pi N/2} + \frac{1}{2} = 24.44.$$
(6-60)

Similarly using (6-59) we get

$$\begin{split} E(X^2) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)P(X > n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)e^{-n(n-1)/2N} = \int_{-1/2}^{\infty} 2(x+1)e^{-(x^2-1/4)/2N} dx \\ &= 2e^{(1/8N)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-x^2/2N} dx + \int_{0}^{1/2} x e^{-x^2/2N} dx \right\} + 2 \int_{-1/2}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2-1/4)/2N} dx \\ &= 2 \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi N}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{N} + \frac{1}{8} \right\} + 2E(X) \\ &= 2N + \frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{2\pi N} + 1 = 2N + \sqrt{2\pi N} + \frac{5}{4} \\ &= 779.139. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 = 181.82$$

which gives

$$\sigma_x \approx 13.48.$$

Since the standard deviation is quite high compared to the mean value, the actual number of people required for a birthday coincidence could be anywhere from 25 to 40.

Identities similar to (6-58)-(6-59) can be derived in the case of continuous random variables as well. For example, if *X* is a nonnegative random variable with density function $f_X(x)$ and distribution function $F_X(X)$, then

$$E\{X\} = \int_0^\infty x f_x(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x dy \right) f_x(x) dx$$

= $\int_0^\infty \left(\int_y^\infty f_x(x) dx \right) dy = \int_0^\infty P(X > y) dy = \int_0^\infty P(X > x) dx$
= $\int_0^\infty \{1 - F_x(x)\} dx = \int_0^\infty R(x) dx,$ (6-61) ³⁰
PILLAI

where

$$R(x) = 1 - F_X(x) \ge 0, \quad x > 0.$$

Similarly

$$E\{X^2\} = \int_0^\infty x^2 f_x(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x 2y dy\right) f_x(x) dx$$
$$= 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\int_y^\infty f_x(x) dx\right) y dy$$
$$= 2 \int_0^\infty x R(x) dx.$$

A Baseball Trivia (Pete Rose and Dimaggio):

In 1978 Pete Rose set a national league record by hitting a string of 44 games during a 162 game baseball season. How unusual was that event?

As we shall see, that indeed was a rare event. In that context, we will answer the following question: What is the probability that someone in major league baseball will repeat that performance and possibly set a new record in the next 50 year period? The answer will put Pete Rose's accomplishment in the proper perspective.

Solution: As example 5-32 (Text) shows consecutive successes in *n* trials correspond to a run of length r in n_{32}

trials. From (5-133)-(5-134) text, we get the probability of *r* successive hits in *n* games to be

$$p_n = 1 - \alpha_{n,r} + p^r \alpha_{n-r,r} \tag{6-62}$$

where

$$\alpha_{n,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/(r+1) \rfloor} (-1)^k (qp^r)^k$$
(6-63)

and p represents the probability of a hit in a game. Pete Rose's batting average is 0.303, and on the average since a batter shows up about four times/game, we get

$$p = P(\text{at least one hit / game})$$

= 1 - P(no hit / game)
= 1 - (1 - 0.303)⁴ = 0.76399 (6-64)

Substituting this value for p into the expressions (6-62)-(6-63) with r = 44 and n = 162, we can compute the desired probability p_n . However since n is quite large compared to r, the above formula is hopelessly time consuming in its implementation, and it is preferable to obtain a good approximation for p_n .

Towards this, notice that the corresponding moment generating function $\phi(z)$ for $q_n = 1 - p_n$ in Eq. (5-130) Text, is rational and hence it can be expanded in partial fraction as

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 - p^{r} z^{r}}{1 - z + q p^{r} z^{r+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{a_{k}}{z - z_{k}},$$
(6-65)

where only *r* roots (out of *r*+1) are accounted for, since the root z = 1/p is common to both the numerator and the denominator of $\phi(z)$. Here

$$a_{k} = \lim_{z \to z_{k}} \frac{(1 - p^{r}z^{r})(z - z_{k})}{1 - z + qp^{r}z^{r+1}}$$
$$= \lim_{z \to z_{k}} \frac{(1 - p^{r}z^{r}) - rp^{r}z^{r-1}(z - z_{k})}{-1 + (r+1)qp^{r}z^{r}}$$

$$a_{k} = \frac{p^{r} z_{k}^{r} - 1}{1 - (r+1)qp^{r} z_{k}^{r}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, r$$
(6-66)

From (6-65) – (6-66)

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{a_k}{(-z_k)} \frac{1}{1 - z/z_k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_k z_k^{-(n+1)} \right) z^n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n \quad (6-67)$$

where

$$A_{k} = -a_{k} = \frac{1 - p^{r} z_{k}^{r}}{1 - (r+1)qp^{r} z_{k}^{r}}$$
35

and

$$q_n = 1 - p_n = \sum_{k=1}^r A_k \ z_k^{-(n+1)}.$$
 (6-68)

However (fortunately), the roots z_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ in (6-65)-(6-67) are all not of the same importance (in terms of their relative magnitude with respect to unity). Notice that since for large $n, z_k^{-(n+1)} \rightarrow 0$ for $|z_k| > 1$, only the roots nearest to unity contribute to (6-68) as n becomes larger.

To examine the nature of the roots of the denominator

$$A(z) = z - 1 - qp^r z^{r+1}$$

in (6-65), note that (refer to Fig 6.1) A(0) = -1 < 0, $A(1) = -qp^r > A(0)$, A(1/p) = 0, $A(\infty) < 0$ implying that for $z \ge 0$, A(z) increases from -1 and reaches a positive maximum at z_0 given by

$$\left. \frac{dA(z)}{dz} \right|_{z-z_0} = 1 - qp^r (r+1)z_0^r = 0,$$

which gives

$$z_0^r = \frac{1}{qp^r(r+1)}.$$
 (6-69)

There onwards A(z) decreases to $-\infty$. Thus there are two positive roots for the equation A(z) = 0 given by $z_1 < z_0$ and $z_2 = 1/p > 1$. Since $A(1) = -qp^r \approx 0$ but negative, by continuity z_1 has the form $z_1 = 1 + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. (see Fig 6.1)



Fig 6.1 A(z) for r odd

It is possible to obtain a bound for z_0 in (6-69). When *P* varies from 0 to 1, the maximum of $qp^r = (1-p)p^r$ is attained for p = r/(r+1) and it equals $r^r/(r+1)^{r+1}$. Thus

$$qp^{r} \le \frac{r^{r}}{(r+1)^{r+1}}$$
 (6-70)

and hence substituting this into (6-69), we get

$$z_0 \ge \frac{r+1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}.$$
 (6-71)

Hence it follows that the two positive roots of A(z) satisfy

$$1 < z_1 < 1 + \frac{1}{r} < z_2 = \frac{1}{p} > 1.$$
 (6-72)

PILLAI

Clearly, the remaining roots of A(z) are complex if r is $_{38}$

odd , and there is one negative root $-\alpha$ if *r* is even (see Fig 6.2). It is easy to show that the absolute value of *every* such complex or negative root is greater than 1/p > 1.



Fig 6.2 A(z) for r even

To show this when r is even, suppose $-\alpha$ represents the negative root. Then

$$A(-\alpha) = -(\alpha + 1 - qp^{r}\alpha^{r+1}) = 0$$

so that the function

$$B(x) = x + 1 - qp^{r}x^{r+1} = A(x) + 2$$
(6-73)

starts positive, for x > 0 and increases till it reaches once again maximum at $z_0 \ge 1 + 1/r$ and then decreases to $-\infty$ through the root $x = \alpha > z_0 > 1$. Since B(1/p) = 2, we get $\alpha > 1/p > 1$, which proves our claim.



Fig 6.3 Negative root $B(\alpha) = 0$

Finally if $z = \rho e^{j\theta}$ is a complex root of A(z), then

$$A(\rho \ e^{j\theta}) = \rho \ e^{j\theta} - 1 - qp^r \rho^{r+1} e^{j(r+1)\theta} = 0$$
 (6-74)

so that

$$\rho = |1 + qp^{r} \rho^{r+1} e^{j(r+1)\theta}| \le 1 + qp^{r} \rho^{r+1}$$

or

$$A(\rho) = \rho - 1 - qp^{r} \rho^{r+1} < 0.$$

Thus from (6-72), ρ belongs to either the interval $(0, z_1)$ or the interval $(\frac{1}{p}, \infty)$ in Fig 6.1. Moreover, by equating the imaginary parts in (6-74) we get

$$qp^{r}\rho^{r}\frac{\sin(r+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} = 1.$$
 (6-75)

$$\frac{\sin(r+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} \le r+1, \tag{6-76}$$

equality being excluded if $\theta \neq 0$. Hence from (6-75)-(6-76) and (6-70)

$$(r+1)qp^{r}\rho^{r} > 1 \implies \rho^{r} > \frac{1}{(r+1)qp^{r}} = z_{0}^{r} > \left(\frac{r+1}{r}\right)^{r}$$

or
$$\rho > z_{0} \ge 1 + \frac{1}{r}.$$

But $z_1 < z_0$. As a result ρ lies in the interval $(\frac{1}{p}, \infty)$ only. Thus

$$\rho > \frac{1}{p} > 1. \tag{6-77}$$

To summarize the two real roots of the polynomial A(z) are given by

$$z_1 = 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0; \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{p} > 1,$$
 (6-78)

and all other roots are (negative or complex) of the form

$$z_k = \rho \ e^{j\theta}$$
 where $\rho > \frac{1}{p} > 1.$ (6-79)

PILLAI

Hence except for the first root z_1 (which is very close to unity), for all other roots

$$z_k^{-(n+1)} \rightarrow 0$$
 rapidly for all k.

As a result, the most dominant term in (6-68) is the first term, and the contributions from all other terms to q_n in (6-68) can be bounded by 43

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k} z_{k}^{-(n+1)} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{r} |A_{k}|| z_{k} |^{-(n+1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{r} \frac{1 - (p | z_{k}|)^{r}}{1 - (r+1)q(p | z_{k}|)^{r}} p^{n+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{r} \frac{(p | z_{k}|)^{r}}{(r+1)q(p | z_{k}|)^{r}} p^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{r-1}{r+1} \frac{p^{n+1}}{q} \leq \frac{p^{n+1}}{q} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$
(6-80)

Thus from (6-68), to an excellent approximation

$$q_n = A_1 z_1^{-(n+1)}. (6-81)$$

This gives the desired probability to be

$$p_n = 1 - q_n = 1 - \left(\frac{1 - (pz_1)^r}{1 - (r+1)q(pz_1)^r}\right) z_1^{-(n+1)}.$$
 (6-82)

Notice that since the dominant root z_1 is very close to unity, an excellent closed form approximation for z_1 can be obtained by considering the first order Taylor series expansion for A(z). In the immediate neighborhood of z = 1we get

$$A(1+\epsilon) = A(1) + A'(1)\epsilon = -qp^{r} + (1 - (r+1)qp^{r})\epsilon$$

so that $A(z_1) = A(1+\varepsilon) = 0$ gives

$$\varepsilon = \frac{qp^r}{1 - (r+1)qp^r},$$

or

$$z_1 \approx 1 + \frac{qp^r}{1 - (r+1)qp^r}.$$
 (6-83)

Returning back to Pete Rose's case, p = 0.763989, r = 44 gives the smallest positive root of the denominator polynomial

$$A(z) = z - 1 - qp^{44}z^{45}$$

to be

 $z_1 = 1.00000169360549.$

(The approximation (6-83) gives $z_1 = 1.00000169360548$). Thus with n = 162 in (6-82) we get

$$p_{162} = 0.0002069970 \tag{6-84}$$

to be the probability for scoring 44 or more consecutive

hits in 162 games for a player of Pete Rose's caliber -a very small probability indeed! In that sense it is a very rare event.

Assuming that during any baseball season there are on the average about $2 \times 25 = 50$ (?) such players over all major league baseball teams, we obtain [use Lecture #2, Eqs.(2-3)-(2-6) for the independence of 50 players]

$$P_1 = 1 - (1 - p_{162})^{50} = 0.0102975349$$

to be the probability that one of those players will hit the desired event. If we consider a period of 50 years, then the probability of *some* player hitting 44 or more consecutive games during one of these game seasons turns out to be

$$1 - (1 - P_1)^{50} = 0.40401874.$$
 (6-85) 47
PILLAI

(We have once again used the independence of the 50 seasons.)

Thus Pete Rose's 44 hit performance has a 60-40 chance of survival for about 50 years.From (6-85), rare events do indeed occur. In other words, *some* unlikely event is likely to happen. However, as (6-84) shows a *particular* unlikely event – such as Pete Rose hitting 44 games in a sequence – is

indeed rare.

Table 6.1 lists p_{162} for various values of r. From there, every reasonable batter should be able to hit at least 10 to 12 consecutive games during every season! 48

r	p_n ; $n = 162$
44	0.000207
25	0.03928
20	0.14937
15	0.48933
10	0.95257

Table 6.1 Probability of *r* runs in *n* trials for p=0.76399.

As baseball fans well know, Dimaggio holds the record of consecutive game hitting streak at 56 games (1941). With a lifetime batting average of 0.325 for Dimaggio, the above calculations yield [use (6-64), (6-82)-(6-83)] the probability for that event to be 49

$$p_n = 0.0000504532. \tag{6-86}$$

Even over a 100 year period, with an average of 50 excellent hitters / season, the probability is only

$$1 - (1 - P_0)^{100} = 0.2229669 \tag{6-87}$$

(where $P_0 = 1 - (1 - p_n)^{50} = 0.00251954$) that someone will repeat or outdo Dimaggio's performance.Remember, 60 years have already passed by, and no one has done it yet!