

کلاس فلسفه‌ی ریاضیات (سیاوش شهشانی)
دانشگاه صنعتی شریف، بیست‌وهفتم آذر ۱۳۹۷.
کاوه لاجوردی kaave.kajevardi@gmail.com

David Barker-Plummer (2012). Turing machines. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/turing-machine/>

George S. Boolos and Richard C. Jeffrey (1989). *Computability and Logic*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press.

B. Jack Copeland (2017). The Church-Turing thesis. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/church-turing/>

Robin Gandy (1988). The confluence of ideas in 1936. Rolf Herken, ed., *The Universal Turing Machine: A Half-Century Survey*, Oxford University Press, pp. 55-111.

Kurt Gödel (1946). Remarks before the Princeton bicentennial conference on problems in mathematics. In Feferman et al., eds., *Kurt Gödel Collected Works, Volume II: Publications 1938-1974*, Oxford University Press, 1990, pp. 150-153.

Kurt Gödel (1972). Some remarks on the undecidability results. *Ibid.*, pp. 305-306.

Saul A. Kripke (2013). The Church-Turing “thesis” as a special corollary of Gödel’s completeness theorem. In Copeland et al., eds., *Computability: Turing, Gödel, Church and Beyond*, MIT Press, pp. 77-104.

Robert I. Soare (1996). Computability and recursion. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, **2**: 284-321.

A.M. Turing, On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, **42** (1936/37): 230-265. Corrections: *ibid.*, **43** (1937): 544-546. <http://www.turingarchive.org/viewer/?id=466&title=01b>

Gödel 1946:

Tarski has stressed in his lecture (and I think justly) the great importance of the concept of general recursiveness (or Turing’s computability). It seems to me that this importance is largely due to the fact that with this concept one has for the first time succeeded in giving an absolute definition of an interesting epistemological notion, i.e., one not depending on the formalism chosen. In all other cases treated previously, such as demonstrability or definability, one has been able to define them only relative to a given language, and for each individual language it is clear that the one thus obtained is not the one looked for. For the concept of computability, however, although it is merely a special kind of demonstrability or decidability, the situation is different. By a kind of miracle it is not necessary to distinguish orders, and the diagonal procedure does not lead outside the defined notion. [...]